From: Sheldrake, Beth

To: Keeley, Karen; Murchie, Peter
Cc: Rylko, Michael; Martich, Tara
Subject: RE: Ex-Indy - Sampling

Date: Wednesday, January 11, 2017 2:02:40 PM

Hi, Karen. It was my understanding that Peter was coordinating a follow up "technical discussion" to further detail our concerns regarding their proposed monitoring and suggested enhancements. My understanding is that you were participating in an informal "workgroup" that was gathering that technical input. I thought the intention was also to provide that input in writing via Tara/OWW and/or the Puget Sound Team. (b) (5)

Beth Sheldrake | Remedial Cleanup Program Manager

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Region 10

Office of Environmental Cleanup

p: 206.553.0220 | c: 206.890-1827 | sheldrake.beth@epa.gov

From: Keeley, Karen

Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2017 6:28 AM

To: Sheldrake, Beth <sheldrake.beth@epa.gov>; Murchie, Peter <Murchie.Peter@epa.gov>

Cc: Rylko, Michael <Rylko.Michael@epa.gov>; Martich, Tara <Martich.Tara@epa.gov>

Subject: Ex-Indy - Sampling

Beth.

The Navy had provided us plans for WQ monitoring and some sediment sampling. Rylko and others provided comments internally - were EPA comments/concerns relayed to the Navy? I assume we didn't move forward with that given the results of last Fridays call, but when the Navy is collecting the data (e.g., WQ data that is collected during their hull cleaning efforts) who at EPA is receiving that information? If we didn't 'ask' for the data to be provided (weekly? daily?) could we do that? Will EPA be reviewing data for potential WQ exceedances?

Thanks,

Karen Keeley U.S. EPA Region 10 Office of Environmental Cleanup Seattle, WA 98101